

Lecture 9: January 21, 2014

Lecturer: K.R. Chowdhary

: Professor of CS (GF)

Disclaimer: These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.

9.1 Clausal Form of formula

If F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n are wffs with \wedge, \neg as operators in each of F_i , then $F_1 \vee F_2 \vee \dots \vee F_n$ is called *DNF* (disjunctive normal form). Alternatively, if operators in F_i are \vee, \neg then $F_1 \wedge F_2 \wedge \dots \wedge F_n$ is called *CNF* (conjunctive normal form). The expression F_i is called a *term*. It is always possible to convert one normal form to another equivalent normal form.

However, we will prefer the CNF for predicate expression. Thus, for the purpose of reasoning to be carried out it is necessary to convert a predicate expression to *CNF*. For example, $\exists x[p(x) \Rightarrow q(x)]$ can be converted to $\neg p(a) \vee q(a)$, where a is an instance of variable x . And, the expression $\neg p(a) \vee q(a)$ is a term of *CNF*. A formula in *CNF*, comprising \wedge, \vee, \neg along with constants, variables, and predicates, is called *clausal* or *clause* form.

9.2 Conversion to Clausal Form

Following are the steps to convert a predicate formula into clausal-form.

1. Eliminate all the implications symbols using the logical equivalence: $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$.
2. Move the outer negative symbol into the atom, for example, replace $\neg \forall x p(x)$ by $\exists x \neg p(x)$.
3. In an expression of nested quantifiers, existentially quantified variables not in the scope of universal quantifiers are replaced by constants. Replace $\exists x \forall y (f(x) \rightarrow f(y))$ by $\forall y (f(a) \rightarrow f(y))$.
4. Rename the variables if necessary.
5. Replace existentially quantified variables with *Skolem* functions; then eliminate corresponding quantifiers. For example, for $\forall x \exists y [\neg p(x) \vee q(y)]$, we obtain $\forall x [\neg p(x) \vee q(f(x))]$. These newly created functions are called *Skolem* functions, and the process is called *skolemization*.
6. Move the universal quantifiers to the left of that equation. For example, $\exists x [\neg p(x) \vee \forall y q(y)]$ to $\exists x \forall y [\neg p(x) \vee q(y)]$
7. Move the disjunctions down to the literals, i.e., terms should be connected by conjunctions only, vertically.
8. Eliminate the conjunctions.
9. Rename the variables, if necessary.

10. Drop all the universal quantifiers, and write each term in a separate line.

Example 9.1 Convert the expression $\exists x \forall y [\forall z P(f(x), y, z) \Rightarrow (\exists u Q(x, u) \wedge \exists v R(y, v))]$ to clausal form.

Solution. The steps discussed above are applied precisely, to get the clausal form of the predicate formula.

1. Eliminate implication.

$$\exists x \forall y [\neg \forall z P(f(x), y, z) \vee (\exists u Q(x, u) \wedge \exists v R(y, v))]$$

2. Move negative symbol inside the atom.

$$\exists x \forall y [\exists z \neg P(f(x), y, z) \vee (\exists u Q(x, u) \wedge \exists v R(y, v))]$$

3. Replace existentially quantified variables, not in the scope of universal quantifier, to constants.

$$\forall y [\exists z \neg P(f(a), y, z) \vee (\exists u Q(a, u) \wedge \exists v R(y, v))]$$

4. Rename variables (not required in this example.)

5. Replace existentially quantified variables that are functions of universal quantified variables, by skolem functions:

$$\forall y [\neg P(f(a), y, g(y)) \vee (Q(a, h(y)) \wedge R(y, l(y)))]$$

6. Not required in this example.

7. Move disjunctions down to literals.

$$\forall y [(\neg P(f(a), y, g(y)) \vee (Q(a, h(y)))) \wedge (\neg P(f(a), y, g(y)) \vee R(y, l(y)))]$$

8. Eliminate conjunctions.

$$\forall y [\neg P(f(a), y, g(y)) \vee (Q(a, h(y))), (\neg P(f(a), y, g(y)) \vee R(y, l(y)))]$$

9. Not required in this example.

10. Drop all universal quantifiers and write each term on separate line.

$$\begin{aligned} &\neg P(f(a), y, g(y)) \vee (Q(a, h(y))), \\ &\neg P(f(a), y, g(y)) \vee R(y, l(y)). \square \end{aligned}$$

References

- [RN05] S. RUSSEL AND P. NORVIG, “Artificial Intelligence - A Modern Approach,” *2nd Edition, Pearson Education, India*, 2005, Chapter 7,8,9.
- [GFL09] D. GEORGE F. LUDGER, “Artificial Intelligence - Structures and Strategies for Complex Problem Solving,” *5th Edition, Pearson Education, India*, 2009, Chapter 2.
- [PAT01] DAN W. PATTERSON, “Introduction to Artificial Intelligence and Expert Systems,” *PHI India*, 2001, Chapter 4.
- [RIC02] ELAN RICH AND KEVIN KNIGHT, “Artificial Intelligence,” 2nd Edition, Tata McGraw-Hill, India, 2002, Chapter 5
- [NIL80] NILS J. NILSSON, “Principles of Artificial Intelligence,” Narosa Publishers, India, 1980, Chapter 4.