

Innovative Methods for Teaching Engineering Courses

KR Chowdhary
Former Professor & Head

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
MBM Engineering College, Jodhpur
Present: Director, JIETSETG
Email: kr.chowdhary@gmail.com
Web-Page: <http://www.krchowdhary.com>

July 4, 2014

Inductive v/s deductive:

- ▶ The “best” method of teaching at UG is **induction**
- ▶ Traditional college teaching method is **deduction**
- ▶ Problem with inductive presentation:

It is not concise and prescriptive - you have to take a thorny problem or a collection of observations or data and try to make sense of it.

- ▶ Many or most students would say that they prefer deductive presentation

Change of the visual/auditory dimension to the visual/verbal dimension

- ▶ “Visual” information clearly includes Pictures, diagrams, charts, plots, animations, etc.,
- ▶ “auditory” information clearly includes spoken words and other sounds.
- ▶ Information transmission that is not clear ? (written prose).
- ▶ The written text is perceived visually. Hence, **cannot be categorized as auditory !!**
- ▶ Cognitive scientists have established that our brains generally convert written words into their spoken equivalents
- ▶ To a **visual learner**, a picture is truly worth a thousand words,
- ▶ Making the learning style pair **visual** and **verbal** solves this

Students learn in many ways - by

- ▶ seeing and hearing;
- ▶ reflecting and acting;
- ▶ reasoning logically and intuitively;
- ▶ memorizing and visualizing and drawing analogies and
- ▶ building mathematical models

Teaching methods also vary:

- ▶ Some instructors lecture,
 - ▶ others demonstrate or discuss;
 - ▶ some focus on principles and others on applications;
 - ▶ some emphasize memory and others understanding.
- ▶ How much a given student learns in a class is governed in part by that student's native ability and prior preparation but also by the compatibility of his or her learning style and the instructor's teaching style.

- ▶ **Mismatches exist** between common learning styles of engineering students and traditional teaching styles of engineering professors.
- ▶ **Professors, confronted by low test grades**, unresponsive or hostile classes, poor attendance and dropouts, **think something is not working**;
- ▶ Most seriously, **society loses potentially excellent engineers**.

We will explore:

1. Which aspects of learning style are particularly significant
2. Which learning styles are preferred by most students ?
3. What can be done?

Dimensions of Learning Style

- ▶ Learning in a structured educational setting is a two-step process: 1. **Reception**, 2. **Processing of information (learning)**.
- ▶ A learning-style model classifies students according to where they fit on a number of scales pertaining to the ways they receive and process information.

Learning style is defined by answers to five questions:

1. Type of information student prefer to perceive : **sensory** - sights, sounds, physical sensations, or **intuitive**
2. Channel through which effectively perceived : **visual** - pictures, diagrams, graphs, demonstrations, or **auditory** - words, sounds
3. Type of information organization he/she is comfortable : facts and observations are given, principles are inferred (**inductive**), or principles are given, consequences and applications are inferred (**deductive**)
4. Prefer to process information : **actively** - through engagement in physical activity or discussion, or **reflectively (passively)** - through introspection
5. Progress toward understanding : **sequentially** - in continual steps, or **globally** - in large jumps, holistically

Teaching style is defined in terms of answers to five questions:

1. **Type of information emphasized by instructor:** **concrete** - factual, or **abstract** - conceptual, theoretical?
2. **Mode of presentation :** **visual** - pictures, diagrams, films, demonstrations, or **verbal** - lectures, readings, discussions?
3. **Organization of presentation :** **inductively** - phenomena leading to principles, or **deductively** - principles leading to phenomena?
4. **presentation induced student participation:** **active** - students talk, move, reflect, or **passive** - students watch and listen?
5. **Type of perspective in presentation:** **sequential** - step-by-step progression (the trees), or **global** - context and relevance

The hypothesis: Engineering instructors who adapt their teaching style to include **both poles of each teaching style** are popular teachers !

1. **Sensing and Intuitive Learners:** two ways in which people tend to perceive the world. Intuition involves indirect perception by way of the unconscious - speculation, imagination.
2. **Visual and Auditory Learners:** As the name suggests.

A study carried out by the Socony-Vacuum Oil Company:

- ▶ students retain 10 percent of what they read,
- ▶ 26 percent of what they hear,
- ▶ 30 percent of what they see,
- ▶ 50 percent of what they see and hear,
- ▶ 70 percent of what they say, and
- ▶ 90 percent of what they say as they do something.

- ▶ **Inductive and Deductive Learners:** Induction is a reasoning progression that proceeds from particulars (observations, measurements, data) to generalities (governing rules, laws, theories). Deduction proceeds in the opposite direction.
- ▶ **Active and Reflective Learners:** The complex mental processes by which perceived information is converted into knowledge can be conveniently grouped into two categories: active experimentation and reflective observation.
- ▶ **Sequential and Global Learners:** completing a semester's course syllabus v/s playing chess or Sudoku