

# Computable Sets

KR Chowdhary  
Professor & Head  
*Email: kr.chowdhary@acm.org*

Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
MBM Engineering College, Jodhpur

March 19, 2013

- ▶ **Definition:** A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is computable if there is a computer program that, on input  $n$ , decides whether  $n \in A$ .
- ▶ **Church-Turing thesis:** This definition is independent of the programming language chosen.
- ▶ **Examples:** The following sets are computable:
  1. The set of even numbers.
  2. The set of prime numbers.
  3. The set of strings that correspond to well-formed programs.
- ▶ Recall that any finite object can be encoded by a natural number (e.g. an algorithm, a program, a large prime number, a large composite number, code of a Turing machine, etc).

# Examples of non-computable sets

- ▶ **The word problem:** Consider the groups that can be constructed with a finite set of generators and a finite set of relations between the generators. The set of pairs (set-of-generators, relations), of *non-trivial* groups is not computable. For example, the generators can be grammar's set, and relations can be acceptability/rejection relation between grammar and strings.
- ▶ **Simply connected manifolds:** The set of finite triangulations of *simply connected* manifolds is not computable.
- ▶ **The Halting problem:** The set of programs that halt, and don't run for ever, is not computable.

- ▶ Given sets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  we say that “ $A$  is computable in  $B$ ”, and we write  $A \leq_T B$ , if there is a computable procedure that can tell whether an element is in  $A$  or not using  $B$  as an oracle.
- ▶ We say that  $A$  is Turing equivalent to  $B$ , and we write  $A \equiv_T B$  if  $A \leq_T B$  and  $B \leq_T A$ .
- ▶ **Example:** The following sets are Turing equivalent.
  1. The set of pairs (set-of-generators, relations), of non-trivial groups;
  2. The set of finite triangulations of simply connected manifolds;
  3. The set of programs that halt.

# What is a computable set

- ▶ We fix some model of computation (typically Turing machines).
- ▶ Fix a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ **Definition:**  $A$  is computable iff there is a finite program (for the model of computation) that gets as input any number  $n$  and will output a correct yes/no answer to the question “ $n \in A?$ ”.
- ▶ **Intuition:** Finite information effectively describes the set completely. Example: The set of prime numbers.
- ▶ **Finite description:** Program that describes a procedure that tries to factor the number, and outputs “no” if it finds prime factors, and “yes” if it doesn’t.

# The Kolmogorov complexity of a set

- ▶ Again, fix a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ We identify it with an infinite sequence of 0's and 1's.
- ▶ We want to quantify how difficult it is to describe  $A$  (in our computational model).
- ▶ Most of the time, this will require infinitely much information.
- ▶ So instead: Look at parts of  $A$  and investigate how much information we need to describe those:  $A|n := A \cap \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ .

▶ **Example:**

Any computable set is of minimal complexity.

Sets that correspond to sequences with “few regularities” have high complexity.

- ▶ Two ways of looking at sets: Computability and compressibility.
- ▶ We Look at something called “traceability”.
- ▶ That is a notion that describes that a set is “nearly computable”.
- ▶ We will see: Correspond to some notion of “quite well compressible”.

# Motivation of traceability

- ▶ Assume we have some function  $f$ , and we can compute it (i.e., there is a program), but only with some external information that we need from a set  $A$ . We write  $f \leq_T A$ .
- ▶ Assume that in addition  $A$  is computable (i.e., there is a program).
- ▶ We can build together both programs into one program that directly computes  $f$ .
- ▶ In other words: If  $A$  is computable then all  $f$  that are computable in  $A$  are computable, too.
- ▶ Next, we want to model “close to computable”: Stipulate that all  $f \leq_T A$  are “close to computable”.
- ▶ **Intuition:**  $A$  is so easy that it contains so little information that we cannot use it to compute anything too complicated.
- ▶  $f$  “close to computable” means: We cannot necessarily compute  $f$ , but can, given  $n$ , generate a small list of potential values of  $f(n)$ , including the correct value.